GREENING THE URBAN LANDSCAPE EFFECTS OF SKYRISE GREENERY ON BUILDING PERFORMANCE

Text by Wong Nyuk Hien and Alex Y.K. Tan Images courtesy of Wong Nyuk Hien



Half of humanity now lives in cities. The unstoppable force of urbanisation is consuming vast quantities of natural vegetation and forested area, replacing them with concrete buildings and hard surfaces. The resulting changes in the thermal properties of surfaces and the lack of evapotranspiration in urban areas have led to an increase in urban temperature, which in turn bring about an increase in energy consumption in buildings.

With the objectives of introducing nature back into the city and exploiting the untapped spaces offered by buildings' roofs and walls, a number of countries have started to adopt the skyrise greening concept in recent years. This also serves to beautify the built environment. Skyrise greenery is broadly classified into rooftop gardens and vertical greenery systems. They are increasingly accepted as an appealing alternative in bringing greenery back to the highly urbanised city, due to the space constraints faced by most cities.

BENEFITS OF SKYRISE GREENERY

Rooftop gardens and vertical greenery systems bring about quantitative benefits, in the form of financial returns, as well as qualitative paybacks, such as environmental, economical, aesthetic and social benefits. One of the most attractive benefits of skyrise greenery is the high aesthetic value that it can bring to buildings and its surrounding environment, softening the urbanised harsh surroundings and offering a tranquil and pleasant view to city dwellers.

Skyrise greenery can be used to create a living surface that actively

contributes to the local environment. Allowing and encouraging plants to grow on roofs and up on walls equate to extending the natural environment into urban areas. Research shows that residents of neighbourhoods with substantial common green spaces are more likely to enjoy stronger social ties than those who live in an environment surrounded by barren concrete.

Furthermore, the environmental benefits of skyrise greenery are considerable. Additional vegetation cover reduces urban stormwater runoff by 7% to 12% (Sanders, 1986). Air pollutants in the urban environment could also end up being bound in the substrate of vegetation. At the same time, substrate, plants species and the trapped layer of air between plants and building façades act as insulation against machinery and traffic noises.

The most significant benefit of skyrise greenery is undoubtedly its thermal effects. A façade fully covered by greenery is protected from intense solar radiation and can reflect or absorb between 40% and 80% of the radiation received, hence reducing the façade's daily temperature fluctuation by as much as 50% (Stuttgart, 2008; Dunnett, 2008).

In another study, the shading effect of vertical greenery systems reduces the energy used for cooling, resulting in an 8% reduction in annual energy consumption (Bass, 2003). Furthermore, skyrise greenery was found to reduce air conditioning load by cooling the immediate air temperature outside of a building. A 5.5°C reduction is expected to lower the amount of energy needed for air-conditioning by 50% to 70% (Peck, 1999).



C THE SHADING EFFECT OF VERTICAL GREENERY SYSTEMS REDUCES THE ENERGY USED FOR COOLING, RESULTING IN AN 8% REDUCTION IN ANNUAL ENERGY CONSUMPTION.

92 ECOLOGY & URBAN Greening the Urban Landscape: Effects of Skyrise Greenery on Building Performance



FERENCH SHOWS THAT RESIDENTS OF NEIGHBOURHOODS WITH SUBSTANTIAL COMMON GREEN SPACES ARE MORE LIKELY TO ENJOY STRONGER SOCIAL TIES THAN THOSE WHO LIVE IN AN ENVIRONMENT SURROUNDED BY BARREN CONCRETE.

THERMAL EFFECTS OF SKYRISE GREENERY IN THE TROPICS

In a study to understand the thermal effect of rooftop gardens in Singapore, field measurements from the rooftop of a low-rise commercial building installed with an intensive rooftop garden were analysed. The findings showed that the maximum surface temperature of the bare roof could reach around 57°C during a hot afternoon with a temperature variation of around 30°C. However, with the installation of rooftop gardens, the maximum surface temperature was only 26.5°C, with a daily fluctuation of not more than 3°C.

Energy simulations were also done on a five-storey commercial building. The results showed that covering an exposed roof fully with shrubs led to a 78.9% reduction in peak space cooling load.

Similarly, research conducted with various vertical greenery systems installed in Singapore verified the thermal benefits widely published worldwide. The temperature reduction of the wall surface is found to be substantial, especially during the daytime, when solar radiation is high. A maximum record of about 12°C reduction was observed in the afternoon. Furthermore, there is only a minimal fluctuation of about 1°C on the wall surface. In addition, the temperature of the substrate surface is much lower compared to the control wall, which was a blank wall without any vertical greenery system, by about 8°C in the day and 6°C at night.

Energy simulations were carried out on a hypothetical 10-storey glass façade building. The findings showed that having full vertical greenery system coverage on its façade reduces its mean radiant temperature from a maximum value of 45.72°C to 27.75°C. In addition, there is a corresponding 31.75% reduction in energy consumption. This can be achieved since all direct solar radiation is shaded by the vertical greenery systems.

CONCLUSIONS

Vegetation can effectively reduce the energy consumptions required in buildings. Given the large roof and façade surfaces available for rooftop gardens and vertical greenery systems, there is enormous potential for urban greenery that remains untapped. It is with anticipation that skyrise greenery will eventually become a typical feature of the urban landscape.

Further reading:

Brad Bass and Bas Baskaran, *Evaluating Rooftop and Vertical Gardens as an Adaptation Strategy for Urban Areas*, NRCC-46737, Project number A020, CCAF Report B1046 (Ottawa, Canada: Institute for Research and Construction, National Research Council, 2003).

Canadian Mortgage and Housing Corporation, *Greenbacks from Green Roofs: Forging a New Industry in Canada* by Steven W. Peck, Chris Callaghan, Brad Bass and Monica E. Kuhn (Ottawa, Canada: Canadian Mortgage and Housing Corporation, 1999).

Derek Chan and Kelly Chiang, ed., *Introduction to Vertical Greenery* (Singapore: CUGE Research, National Parks Board, 2008).

Kelly Chiang and Alex Tan, ed., *Vertical Greenery for the Tropics* (Singapore: CUGE Research, National Parks Board, 2009).

Ministry of Economy Baden-Württemberg in cooperation with Environmental Protection Department of Stuttgart, *Climate Booklet for Urban Development* (Stuttgart, Germany: Ministry of Economy Baden-Württemberg in cooperation with Environmental Protection Department of Stuttgart, 2008).

National Parks Board and Centre for Total Building Performance, School of Design and Environment, National University of Singapore, ed., *Handbook of Skyrise Greening* in Singapore (Singapore: CUGE Research, National Parks Board, 2002).

Nigel Dunnett and Noel Kingsbury, *Planting Green Roofs and Living Walls* (Portland/ London: Timber Press, 2008).

Nyuk Hien Wong, Alex Yong Kwang Tan, Yu Chen, Kannagi Sekar, Puay Yok Tan, Derek Chan, Kelly Chiang and Ngian Chung Wong, "Thermal Evaluation of Vertical Greenery Systems for Building Walls," *Building and Environment* 45 no.3 (2009): 663-672.

Nyuk Hien Wong, Su Fen Tay, Raymond Wong, Chui Leng Ong and Angelia Sia, "Life cycle cost analysis of rooftop gardens in Singapore," *Building and Environment* 38 no.3 (2003): 499-509.

Puay Yok Tan and Angelia Sia, ed., *Selection of Plants for Green Roofs in Singapore*, 2nd ed. (Singapore: CUGE Research, National Parks Board, 2008).

Ralph A. Sanders, "Urban Vegetation Impacts on the Hydrology of Dayton, Ohio," *Urban Ecology* 9 (1986): 361-376.