# The Significance of Pollen Morphology in the Taxonomy of Grewia and Microcos (Tiliaceae) in Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo

# R. C. K. CHUNG<sup>1</sup>, E. SOEPADMO<sup>1</sup> AND A. L. LIM<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Forest Research Institute Malaysia Kepong, 52109 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Malaya 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

# Abstract

The pollen morphology of 5 species of *Grewia* and 31 species of *Microcos* (including their type species) from Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo were studied using light, scanning and transmission electron microscopy. The pollen of *Grewia* species differs from that of *Microcos* in being larger with a mean polar axis of  $53-75 \,\mu\text{m}$  and an average equatorial diameter of  $39-55 \,\mu\text{m}$ , having a coarsely reticulate tectum, a lumina diameter of more than 1.5  $\mu\text{m}$  and a mean exine thickness of  $1.55-2.15 \,\mu\text{m}$ . *Microcos* pollen is characterised by its smaller size with a mean polar axis of  $25-35 \,\mu\text{m}$  and an average equatorial diameter of  $19-27 \,\mu\text{m}$ , a finely reticulate tectum, a lumina diameter of  $19-27 \,\mu\text{m}$ , a finely m. The pollen of all species examined conforms to the previously recognised 'Grewia-type'.

## Introduction

The genus *Grewia* consists of about 280–300 species of trees, shrubs or climbers, distributed from Madagascar, tropical Africa northwards and southeastwards to the Himalayas, China and Taiwan, India, Sri Lanka, Myanmar, Thailand, Indo-China, Malesia, Western Pacific and the northern parts of Australia. In the Malesian region about 30 species are known, of which four occur in Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo. *Microcos* is a genus of about 80 species of trees and shrubs, occurring in tropical Africa (not Madagascar) and Indo-Malesia. In Malesia, some 42 species are known, of which 31 occur in Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo (Chung, 2001; Bayer and Kubitzki, 2003).

Previous studies on members of the order Malvales showed that pollen morphological characters were useful for segregating the Tiliaceae from the Bombacaceae, Malvaceae and Sterculiaceae (Erdtman, 1952; Nair, 1962; Chaudhuri, 1965; Chaudhuri and Mallik, 1965; Sharma, 1969; Coetzee and Van Der Schijff, 1978; Martínez-Hernández *et al.*, 1978; Zhang and Chen, 1984; Sudhakar and Rao, 1987). Palynological literature on the family Tiliaceae has been reviewed by Erdtman (1952). He described over 70 species of the family, including some Peninsular Malaysian and Bornean representatives, distributed over 45 genera. Since then there have been a number studies on the pollen of *Grewia* and/or *Microcos* from India (Sharma, 1969; Sudhakar and Rao, 1987), China (Long, 1982; Zhang and Chen, 1984; Wang *et al.*, 1995) and Sudan (El Ghazali, 1993). These studies show the Tiliaceae to be a curypalynous family. Based mainly on aperture type and using tectum ornamentation as subsidiary characters, Sharma (1969) recognised 7 major and 18 minor pollen types, while Zhang and Chen (1984) recognised four pollen types and Erdtman (1952) noted only three pollen types in the Tiliaceae.

Erdtman (1952) classified the pollen of *Grewia* as belonging to a single pollen type, i.e. the 'Grewia-type' characterised by tricolporate grains with reticulate tectum. He, however, did not examine the pollen of *Microcos*. Later, Long (1982), Zhang and Chen (1984) and Wang *et al.* (1995) categorised pollen of *Grewia* and *Microcos* as belonging to the 'Grewia-type'. However, Sharma (1969), using characters of the tectum ornamentation and lumina width, distinguished four pollen types in the Indian species of *Grewia*.

Based on pollen morphological data, Erdtman (1952), Zhang and Chen (1984), Christensen (1986), and Nilsson and Robyns (1986) concluded that the pollen morphology of Tiliaceae closely resembled that of Bombacaceae and Sterculiaceae but differed from that of Malvaceae. Zhang and Chen (1984) supported the separation of *Microcos* from *Grewia* in the Tiliaceae. Sharma (1969) suggested that pollen morphological characters, when combined with other morphological data, can provide useful additional taxonomic characters for delimitating genera and species of the Tiliaceae.

The aim of this study is to investigate the morphological variation in the pollen of *Grewia* and *Microcos*; to assess the taxonomic value of the pollen in the Peninsular Malaysian and Bornean species; and to contribute to a better understanding of the pollen morphology of both genera.

# Materials and methods

Pollen used in the present study was obtained from herbarium specimens listed in Table 1.

The pollen samples were acetolysed following the method of Erdtman (1960). The acetolysed pollen samples were divided for light microscopy (LM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) studies. For LM observations, pollen grains were mounted in glycerine jelly stained with safranin and sealed with paraffin wax. Measurements of polar length, equatorial width, colpus length and width were carried out using an Olympus BX41 microscope. For each sample, 20 pollen grains were measured using a calibrated eyepiece micrometer. For SEM observations, the acetolysed pollen grains

Species <sup>§</sup>	Collector	Herbarium			
Grewia					
G. occidentalis L. (type species)	Moss 3959 <sup>*</sup> ; Relinhoonk 483 <sup>#</sup>	BM; L			
G. huluperakensis I.M. Turner	Turner 94-94	SING			
<i>G. laevigata</i> Vahl	Hashim SAN 33470 <sup>#</sup> ; Kostermans 5234 <sup>#</sup> ; Lee S. 41948 <sup>#</sup> ; Sigin <i>et al.</i> SAN 110601 <sup>#</sup> ; Stone 11856 <sup>#</sup> ; Whitmore FRI 3729 <sup>#</sup>				
G. multiflora Juss.	Motley 240 <sup>*</sup>	К			
G. polygama Roxb.	Kiah SFN 35286 <sup>#</sup> ; Symington FMS 46716 <sup>#</sup>				
Microcos					
M. paniculata L. (type species)	Cramer 3405"; Kanodia 88480°	L; BM			
M. antidesmifolia (King) Burret var. antidesmifolia	<i>intidesmifolia</i> (King) Burret var. <i>antidesmifolia</i> (King) Surret Cockburn FRI 7816 <sup>#</sup> ; King's Collector 4029 <sup>#</sup> ; Whitmore FRI 3417 <sup>#</sup>				
M. antidesmifolia (King) Burret	Creagh s.n. #; Elmer 20911#; Sibat	K; SING;			
var. hirsuta (King) Burret	S. 25179#	KEP			
M. borneensis Burret	Haviland 2837 <sup>#</sup> : Tiggi S. 3311 <sup>#</sup>	SAR: SAR			
M. cinnamomifolia Burret	Anderson S. 25147"; Charington	SAR; KEP;			
	SAN 29852"; Coode MC 7716"	KEP			
M. dulitensis Airy Shaw	Richards 1767 <sup>#</sup>	SING			
M. erythrocarpa (Ridl.) Airy Shaw	Kochummen FRI 16417 <sup>#</sup> ; Mohd. Shah MS 2757 <sup>#</sup>	KEP; SING			
M. fibrocarpa (Mast.) Burret	Kochummen KEP 80640";	KEP; K;			
	Maingay 1080 <sup>#</sup> ; Mohd. Shah and Sidek MS 1164 <sup>#</sup>	SING			
M. globulifera (Mast.) Burret	Maingay 3064#; Ogata KEP 105036#	К; КЕР			
M. gracilis Stapf ex Ridl.	Chai SAN 26066; Coode <i>et al.</i> MC 6776: Haviland 1683	SAN; KEP; SAR			
M. henrici (Baker f.) Burret	Ahmad and Termiji SAN 67973*:	SAN: KEP: L			
ssp. acuta R.C.K.Chung	Ilias S. 45121 <sup>#</sup> ; Kostermans 10424 <sup>#</sup>	51, 12, 2			
M. hirsuta (Korth.) Burret	Clemens 27284 <sup>#</sup> ; Haviland 1471 <sup>#</sup> ; Korthals <i>s.n.</i> (L sheet no.	SING; SING; L			
	944.56.122)*				
M. kinabaluensis R.C.K.Chung	Chew and Corner RSNB 4994"; Sadau SAN 49708 <sup>#</sup>	SAN; SAN			
M. lanceolata (Miq.) Burret	Chelliah KEP 104621°; Mahmud FMS 3717 <sup>#</sup>	KEP; SING			

King's Collector 7762#; Sarawak

Museum Series 165<sup>#</sup>; Wong

WKM 1545<sup>#</sup>

K; SAR;

SING

# Table 1. Source of materials studied.

M. latifolia Burret

Species <sup>§</sup>	Collector	Herbarium
<i>M. latistipulata</i> (Ridl.) Burret var. <i>latistipulata</i>	Burkill SFN 7826 <sup>#</sup> ; Elmer 20310 <sup>#</sup> : Teo TP 391 <sup>#</sup>	SING; L; SING
<i>M. laurifolia</i> (Hook. <i>ex</i> Mast.) Burrett	Kochummen FRI 16338 <sup>#</sup> ; Zainuddin FRI 17887 <sup>#</sup>	KEP; KEP
M. malayana R.C.K.Chung	Loh FRI 19249 <sup>#</sup> ; Symington and Kiah SFN 28797 <sup>#</sup>	KEP; SING
<i>M. membranifolia</i> R.C.K.Chung	Singh SAN 30672"; Yii S. 61492"	KEP; KEP
<i>M. opaca</i> (Korth.) Burret	Korthals <i>s.n.</i> (L sheet no. 908.253.752) <sup>#</sup> ; Lee S. 43227 <sup>#</sup>	L: SAR
M. ossea Burret	Clemens 21071 <sup>#</sup> ; Haviland 42 <sup>#</sup> ; Wong WKM 1290 <sup>#</sup>	SAR; SING: BRUN
M. pachyphylla Merr.	Aban SAN 35880 <sup>#</sup> ; Salleh <i>et al</i> . BRUN 15315 <sup>#</sup>	SAN; KEP
M. pearsonii (Merr.) Burret	Singh and Aban SAN 30135 <sup>#</sup> ; Wright S. 29113 <sup>#</sup>	SAN: SAR
M. phaneroneura Burret	Hallier 2868 <sup>#</sup> ; Ilias S. 28128 <sup>#</sup>	BO; SAR
<i>M. reticulata</i> Ridl.	Ashton S. 17800 <sup>#</sup> ; Creagh s.n. <sup>#</sup>	KEP; K
M. riparia (Boerl. & Koord.) Burret	Hallier 2208 <sup>#</sup> ; Yeob FMS 3190 <sup>#</sup>	BO; KEP
M. stylocarpoides Burret	Burley <i>et al</i> . NGS 3243 <sup>#</sup> ; Othman S. 37444 <sup>#</sup>	KEP: SAR
M. subcordifolia R.C.K.Chung	Kirkup and Thomas DK 727*; Niga NN 118*	KEP; KEP
M. subepetala Stapf ex Ridl.	Haviland 1885 <sup>#</sup>	К
M. sumatrana (Baker f.) Burret	Fedilis SAN 95781 <sup>#</sup> : Forbes 2684 <sup>#</sup>	KEP; L
<i>M. tomentosa</i> Sm.	Ang FRI 21599 <sup>*</sup> ; Suppiah FRI 28239 <sup>*</sup> ; Zainuddin and Latiff AZ 1731 <sup>#</sup>	KEP; KEP; KEP
M. triflora (Blanco) R.C.K.Chung var. triflora	Anon. PNH 35319#; Tai SAN 58042#	KEP; SING
M. triflora (Blanco) R.C.K.Chung	Singh SAN 30375 <sup>#</sup> ; Suib S.	KEP; KEP

#### Table 1 continued

M. triflora (Blanco) R.C.K.Chung var. longipetiolata (Merr.) R.C.K.Chung

<sup>#</sup> used for LM and SEM <sup>\*</sup> used for LM, SEM and TEM <sup>§</sup> Microson constitution

<sup>8</sup> Microcos crassifolia Burret without any flowering material was excluded from this study

23458#

were dehydrated through an ethanol series and critical point dried by using liquid CO<sub>2</sub> as the exchange medium. After coating with gold, they were observed with a Jeol JSM-6400 scanning electron microscope. For transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies, the pollen samples were fixed in 1%  $OSO_4$  for 90 min, dehydrated through an ethanol series, and then embedded in epoxy resin. Ultrathin sections, cut with a glass knive, were stained with 8% uranyl acetate for 5 minutes and then Reynold's lead citrate (Reynolds, 1963) for 10 minutes. Observations were made using a Philips CM12 TEM.

Pollen morphological data for all species are summarised in Table 2. The size classes of pollen following Erdtman (1952) are classified as small (10–25  $\mu$ m), medium (25–50  $\mu$ m) or large (50–100  $\mu$ m). Terminology follows that of Erdtman (1952) and Punt *et al.* (1994).

### Results

### GREWIA L.

#### (Table 2; Plates 1A-C, 2A-C, 3A-D and 4A-B)

#### Light Microscopy

The pollen grains of *Grewia* species are single, isopolar, radially symmetric, tricolporate, and rounded-triangular in equatorial outline (ambs). The pollen grains is medium to large (44–80  $\mu$ m polar length) with an average polar length of 52.9–74.8  $\mu$ m and an average equatorial axis 38.6–55  $\mu$ m (Table 2). Pollen grain shape varies from subprolate (P/E = 1.26) to prolate (P/E = 1.36–1.45).

The apertures are tricolporate. The colpi are 1/2-3/4 of polar axis length, narrow (c. 1.5 µm) to wide (3.5–4.5 µm), provided with acute apices and delimited by a clearly defined margin. The endoapertures are lolongate or lalongate, either as wide as, or slightly wider than the colpi.

### Scanning Electron Microscopy

The pollen grains of *Grewia* have a bireticulate-tectate exine. The muri of the primary reticulum are smooth, but somewhat irregular in thickness. The lumina of the primary reticulum are coarsely reticulate and variable in width and shape, and are infilled by a secondary reticulum comprising few to many finer reticulations and/or perforations of varying width and shape. Two types of tectum ornamentation were observed in *Grewia* pollen: type C (coarsely reticulate with a few perforations/microreticulations) and type D (coarsely reticulate with numerous perforations/microreticulations).

#### Transmission Electron Microscopy

The exine is  $1-2.54 \,\mu\text{m}$  thick and consists of an outer ectexine and an inner endexine.

Column:													
Species	I	2	3	+	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Grewia													
occidentalis (type species)	(58-)62.7(-68)	(38-)42(48)	1.49	1	р	36.7	3	la	Ð	co	ро	mt	nu
huluperakensis	(44)52.9(60)	(38-)41.9(-46)	1.26	Vm	sp	28.6	1.5	la	Ð	co	ро	mt	nu
laevigata	(70-)74.8(-80)	(48)55(-62)	1.36	1	р	45.5	4.5	ю	Ð	co	rs.	mt	nu
multiflora	(60-)66(-72)	(38-)45.6(-52)	1.45	1	p	35.6	4.4	la	D	co	ро	mt	nu
polygama	(50)55.6(-60)	(32-)38.6(-44)	1.44	1	p	34.2	3.5	la	С	co	po	mt	fe
Microcos													
paniculata (type species)	(26-)28.9(-32)	(18-)20.2(-22)	1.43	m	р	14.5	1.9	la	$-\Delta$	fi	ро	lt	fe
antidesmijoha var. antidesmijolia	(20-)25.8(-29)	(19-)21.6(24)	1.19	s/m	sp	15.5	1.4	ci	$-\Delta$	fi	ро	lt	fe
antidesmifolia yar, hirsuta	(23)27(-31)	(15-)22.6(-31)	1.21	s/m	sp	14.7	1	ci	А	fi	ро	lı	fe
borncensis	(23-)25.3(-28)	(16-)18.8(-22)	1.35	s/m	р	16.7	1.7	ci	А	fi	ро	lt	fe
cinnamomifolia	(23-)25.1(-26)	(16-)18.6(-22)	1.35	s/m	р	15	1.2	ci	А	fi	ро	lt	fe
dulitensis	(26-)31.1(-36)	(19-)23.5(-27)	1.32	m	sp	16.8	1.8	ci	А	fï	ро	lt	fe
erythrocarpa	(29-)32.9(-37)	(19-)22.2(+29)	1.49	m	р	19.7	2.1	ci	А	fi	ро	lt	fe
fibrocarpa	(27)30.4(34)	(18-)22(-27)	1.38	m	р	18.1	1.6	cì	В	fi	ро	lı	nu
globulifera	(24)29.6(-34)	(20-)22.6(-24)	1.31	s/m	sp	18.9	1.4	la	В	fi	ро	lt	nu
gracilis	(24-)27(-29)	(17-)19.1(-22)	1.41	s/m	р	17.7	1.6	ci	А	fï	ро	lt	fe
henrici ssp. acuta	(23-)30,4(-39)	(25-)26.9(-30)	1.13	s/m	ps	16.3	1.5	ci	В	fï	ро	lt	nu
hirsuta	(29-)33.6(-37)	(19-)22(-24)	1.53	m	р	17.1	1.5	cì	В	fi	ро	lt	nu
kinabaluensis	(28-)32.4(-37)	(22-)23.1(-27)	1.40	m	р	17.7	1.2	ci	В	ſï	ро	lt	nu
lanceolata	(29-)32.3(+34)	(17 - )21(-24)	1.54	m	р	19.7	3.1	ci	В	ſï	ро	lt	nu
latifolia	(32-)33(-34)	(20-)21.6(-24)	1.53	m	р	24.3	1.7	ci	Α	fi	ро	lt	fe
latistipulata var. latistipulata	(26-)29.6(-33)	(17–)21.1(–24)	1.42	m	р	16.3	3.1	ci	В	fi	ро	lt	nu
laurifolia	(24-)25.6(-27)	(17-)19(-22)	1.35	s/m	р	17.6	1.5	ci	В	ſi	ро	lt	nu
malayana	(29–)33.7(39)	(19-)22.2(-27)	1.52	111	p	[9	I.	ci	В	fi	ро	lt	nu
membranifolia	(30)32.6(-35)	(23-)27.1(-30)	1.20	m	sp	20.4	1.5	ci	В	ſi	ро	lt	nu
opaca	(24-)34.1(-42)	(17–)21.4(–28)	1.60	s/m	р	16.8	1.2	ci	Α	ſï	ро	lt	ſe
<i>OSSEA</i>	(27–)31.1(–37)	(17-)20.8(-24)	1.51	m	р	20	1.5	ci	A	fi	ро	h	fe
pachyphylla	(28-)30.1(-33)	(20-)23.1(-28)	1.30	m	sp	16.7	1.3	ci/la	А	fï	ро	lt	fe
pearsonii	(31–)35(–38)	(20-)21.5(-23)	1.62	m	р	20	1.3	ci/la	В	fï	ро	lt	nu

# **Table 2.** Pollen morphological characters of *Grewia* and *Microcov* species in Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo.

#### Table 2. Continued:-

Column: Species	I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Microcos													
phaneroneura	(33-)34.5(-38)	(20-)23.2(-25)	1.49	m	р	19.6	2.3	ci	А	fi	ро	lt	fe
reticulata	(28 - )28.8(-31)	(19–)21.1(–23)	1.37	m	p	15	1.4	ci	В	ťi	po	lt	nu
riparia	(27-)29.7(-32)	(18-)21.6(-24)	1.38	m	p	16.9	3.1	ci	А	fi	po	lt	fe
stylocarpoides	(33-)34.8(-40)	(23-)25.7(-30)	1.35	m	p	18.2	1.5	ci	А	fï	ро	lt	ſe
subcordifolia	(30-)32.3(-38)	(20-)23.1(-28)	1.40	m	p	14.7	1.7	ci	А	fĭ	ро	lt	ſe
subepetala	(28-)30.6(-35)	(20-)21.6(-25)	1.42	m	p	17.2	1.4	ci	А	fi	ро	lt	fe
sumatrana	(28-)30.8(-33)	(18-)20.6(-23)	1.50	m	р	16.8	1.2	ci	В	fi	ро	lt	nu
tomentosa	(26-)27.2(-28)	(19–)20.9(23)	1.30	m	sp	16.3	3.5	ci/la	В	fï	ро	łt	nu
<i>triflora</i> var. <i>triflora</i>	(28-)29.6(-33)	(19-)20.6(-23)	1.44	m	р	17.8	1.6	ci/la	В	fi	ро	lt	nu
triflora var. longipetiolata	(30–)32(–35)	(23-)24.5(-28)	1.31	m	sp	16.8	1.4	ci/la	В	fi	ро	lt	nu

Legend: / =or

Columns:

- 1. Length polar axis, P (in μm)
- 2. Equatorial diameter, E (in µm)
- 3. Ratio between length of the polar axis and equatorial axis (P/E ratio)
- 4. Size classes (Erdtman, 1952; s = small, 10–25 µm; m = medium, 25–50 µm; 1 = large, 50–100 µm)
- 5. Shape classes (Erdtman, 1952; p = projate; ps = projate spheroidal; sp = subprojate)
- 6. Average length of colpus (in µm)
- 7. Average width of colpus (in µm)
- 8. Endoaperture shape (ci = circular; la = lalongate; lo = lolongate)
- 9. Type of tectum ornamentation (A = finely reticulate with a few perforations/microreticulations: B = finely reticulate with numerous perforations/microreticulations; C = coarsely reticulate with a few perforations/microreticulations; D = coarsely reticulate with numerous perforations/microreticulations)
- 10. Muri of primary reticulum (co = coarse; fi = fine)
- 11. Lumina shape (po = polygonal: rs= reticulate subrugulate)
- 12. Lumina diameter (lt =  $< 1.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ ; mt =  $> 1.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ )
- 13. Lumina perforations/microreticulations (fe = few; nu = numerous)

The ectexine is thicker than the endexine except at the aperture region. The tectum is  $0.23-0.86 \,\mu\text{m}$  thick. The columellae are simple, straight, distinctly arranged in single rows in a reticulate pattern, and either support the raised muri of the primary reticulum or support the sunken secondary reticulum. The columellae are  $0.46-1.46 \,\mu\text{m}$  tall and  $0.29-0.77 \,\mu\text{m}$  thick. The spaces between columellae range  $0.47-1.1 \,\mu\text{m}$  in height and  $0.18-1 \,\mu\text{m}$  in width. The foot layer is  $0.24-0.53 \,\mu\text{m}$  thick at the mesocolpia, and is often thickened near the aperture.

The endexine appears smooth, thin and is not always discernible except at the aperture region. Below the endexine, at the apertural region, there are generally darkly stained, stout, radial elements towards the intine. The intine is fibrillar and, particularly at the apertures, stratified into a fibrillar layer and enveloping a lens-shaped body with a microtubule layer.

#### Remarks

Apart from minor differences, the pollen morphological characters of the Peninsular Malaysian and Bornean species of *Grewia* conform to those of *G. occidentalis* (the type species of the genus), the pollen of *G. occidentalis* compared with *Grewia* species from Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo has a thinner tectum and columellae  $(0.73-1.23 \mu m)$ , and foot layer and endexine  $(0.06-0.2 \mu m)$ .

The pollen of *Grewia laevigata* can be distinguished from pollen of other *Grewia* species by the perforations of the secondary reticulum that encroach into the margins of the primary muri. Pollen of *G. polygama* differs from that of *G. huluperakensis* and *G. multiflora* by having fewer microreticulations/perforations of the lumina.

Sharma (1969) reported that pollen size of *Grewia* species from India was (27.2–)  $31(-78.2) \ \mu\text{m}$  in polar length and  $(18.7–)21(-57.8) \ \mu\text{m}$  in equatorial diameter. However, among the 17 species he studied, one was a *Microcos* species: *G. microcos* L. is now *Microcos paniculata*. By omitting the pollen size of this species (P=(272–)  $31(-34) \ \mu\text{m}$ ; E =  $(18.7–)21(-22.1) \ \mu\text{m}$ ) from the data, the pollen size of these *Grewia* species in India was  $(40.8–)47.4(-78.2) \ \mu\text{m}$  in polar length and  $(28.9–)32.6(-57.8) \ \mu\text{m}$  in equatorial diameter. Therefore, in India pollen size of *Grewia* species was larger than that of *Microcos* species (= *G. microcos*).

The exine thickness of *Grewia* species from China was reported by Zhang and Chen (1984) as more than 2.3  $\mu$ m. This falls within the range of the present study, 0.73–2.54  $\mu$ m thick.

# MICROCOS L.

(Tables 2 and 3; Plates 1D–F, 2D–F, 3E–H and 4C–D)

#### Light Microscopy

The pollen grains in *Microcos* are single, isopolar, radially symmetric, tricolporate, and rounded-triangular in equatorial outline (ambs). Size ranges from small to medium

 $(20-42 \,\mu\text{m} \text{ polar length})$  with an average polar length of 25.1–35  $\mu\text{m}$  and an average equatorial diameter of 18.6–26.9  $\mu\text{m}$ . Most *Microcos* species possess medium-sized pollen grains (Table 2). Pollen grain shape varies from prolate spheroidal (P/E = 1.13) to subprolate (P/E = 1.19–1.32) or prolate (P/E = 1.35–1.62).

The colpi are 1/2 to 3/4 the length of the polar axis, narrow to wide, with acute apices, and delimited by a distinct margin. In most species the endoapertures are circular, but are lalongate in *M. globulifera* and circular to lalongate in *M. pachyphylla*, *M. pearsonii*, *M. tomentosa*, and *M. triflora* (both varieties). In most species, the endoapertures are as wide as or slightly wider than the colpi.

### Scanning Electron Microscopy

The tectum of *Microcos* species are bireticulate-tectate. The muri of the primary reticulum are smooth and of somewhat irregular thickness. The lumina of the primary reticulum are finely reticulate and are infilled by secondary reticulum with minute to large microreticulations/perforations of variable number and shape. Two types of tectum ornamentation are found in *Microcos* pollen: type A (finely reticulate with a few perforations/microreticulations) and type B (finely reticulate with numerous perforations/microreticulations).

### Transmission Electron Microscopy

The exine is  $0.70-1.05 \ \mu m$  thick and consists of an outer ectexine and an inner endexine. The ectexine is thicker than nexine except at the aperture region. The tectum is  $0.18-0.46 \ \mu m$  thick. The columellae are simple, straight, and distinctly arranged in single rows in a reticulate pattern, either supporting the raised muri of the primary reticulum or supporting the sunken secondary reticulum. The columellae are  $0.2-0.5 \ \mu m$  tall and  $0.14-0.32 \ \mu m$  thick. The spaces between columellae is  $0.18-0.45 \ \mu m$  in height and  $0.14-0.55 \ \mu m$  in width. The foot layer varies in thickness but is generally markedly thickened at the aperture margin.

The endexine is usually thin and always traceable except towards the apertures, where it may be thicker. The intine often has numerous microtubule layers enveloped by the fibrillar layer. At the apertural region there are relatively stout, darkly stained, radial elements between intine and endexine.

#### Remarks

The pollen morphology in the species examined matches that of *M. paniculata* (the type species of the genus). The palynological data in this study conform well with the results reported in China by Long (1982), Zhang and Chen (1984). Wang *et al.* (1995); and in India by Sharma (1969; *G. microcos* = *M. paniculata*).

Among the Peninsular Malaysian and Bornean *Microcos* species examined, three main groups can be discerned based on whether the pollen is prolate-spheroidal,



**Plate 1.** LMs and SEMs of selected *Grewia* and *Microcos* species showing tricolporate grains in polar view. A & C: G. laevigata (A: Whitmore 3729; C: Hashim SAN 33470). B: G. multiflora (Motley 240). D: M. fibrocarpa (Kochummen 80640). E: M. paniculata (Cramer 3405). F: M. malayana (Loh FRI 19249). Scale bar = 1 μm in F; 10 μm in A–E.



**Plate 2.** LMs and SEMs of selected *Grewia* and *Microcos* species showing tricolporate grains in equatorial view. A: G. laevigata (Whitmore 3729). B: G. laevigata (Hashim SAN 33470) with lolongate endoaperture. C: G. multiflora (Motley 240) with lalongate endoaperture. D: M. fibrocarpa (Kochummen 80640). E: M. dulitensis (Richards 1767) with circular endoaperture. F: M. riparia (Hallier 2208) with circular endoaperture. Scale bar = 1  $\mu$ m in F; 10  $\mu$ m in A–E.



**Plate 3.** SEMs of selected *Grewia* and *Microcos* species showing exine detail of coarsely reticulate pattern (A–D) or finely reticulate pattern (E–H) and lumina detail. A: *G. multiflora* (*Motley 240*), muri variable in width and shape. B: *G. laevigata* (*Hashim SAN 33470*), muri branching inwards toward the lumina forming reticulate subrugulate sculpturing. C: *G. polygama* (*Kiah SFN 35286*), lumina occupied by a few microreticulations/perforations. D: *G. huluperakensis* (*Turner 94-94*), lumina occupied by numerous microreticulations. E: *M. henrici* ssp. *acuta* (*llias S 45121*), muri variable in width and shape. F: *M. kinabaluensis* (*Chew & Corner RSNB 4994*). G: *M. dulitensis* (*Richards 1767*), lumina occupied by a few microreticulations. H: *M. membranifolia* (*Singh SAN 30672*), lumina occupied by numerous microreticulations. Scale bar = 1 μm in A–H.



**Plate 4.** TEMs micrograph of selected *Grewia* and *Microcos* species showing structure of ectexine and endexine. **A:** *G. occidentalis* (*Moss 3959*). **B:** *G. multiflora* (*Motley 240*). **C:** *M. paniculata* (*Kanodia 88480*). **D:** *M. tomentosa* (*Suppiah FRI 28239*). Scale bar =  $0.36 \mu m$  in D;  $0.45 \mu m$  in A & C;  $0.59 \mu m$  in B. Abbreviation used: c = columellae; cp = cytoplasm; ed = endexine; fl = foot layer; i = intine; sc = spaces between columellae; t = tectum.

subprolate or prolate. In species with subprolate pollen grains, three subgroups can be distinguished based on whether the endoapertures are circular, lalongate or circularlalongate. Other pollen morphological characters, e.g. P/E ratio and number of lumina perforations, can be used to further subdivide these subgroups. Likewise, in species with prolate pollen grains, by using palynological characters such as the number of perforations of the lumina, P/E ratios and endoapertures shape, further subdivisions to smaller groups can be made (Table 3).

# Discussion

The pollen morphology of both genera is very similar and adds further confirmation that species of *Grewia* and *Microcos* in Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo have pollen morphological characters belonging to the 'Grewia-type' of Erdtman (1952).

	r			POLI	LEN SHAPE				_			
Prolate- spheroidal			Subprolate		Prolate							
M. henrici ssp. acuta	rrici M. antidesmifolia (both varieties). M. dulitensis, M. globulifera, M. membranifi uta M. pachyphylla, M. tomentosa, M. triflora var. longipetiolata <b>FNDOAPERTURES</b>					M. borneensis, M. a M. gracilis, M. hirs M. latistipulata var M. ossea, M. pearsa M. stylocarpoides, M. triflora var. trifl NUMP	sinnamomifolia, M. a uta, M. kinabaluens I atistipulata, M. lau onii, M. phancroneu M. subcordifolia, M. ora <b>BER OF LUMINA I</b>	erythrocarpa, M is, M. lanceolata rrifolia, M. mala ra, M. reticulata subepetala, M. PERFORATIO	, fibrocarpa, ı. M. latifolia, ıyana, M. opaca, . M. riparia, sumatrana, NS			
	Circul	lar	Lalongate Circular-lalongate			A Few	·····	Numerous				
	M. antidesmifolia M. dulitensis, M. n NUMBER OF PERFOR	(both varieties), nembranifolia E LUMINA ATIONS	M. globulifera	M. pachyphylla, M. triflora var. l NUMBER ( PERFO	M. tomentosa, ongipetiolata OF LUMINA RATIONS	M. borneensis, M. a 'M. erythrocarpa, M. M. opaca, M. ossea M. riparia, M. stylo M. subcordifolia, M	innamomifolia, , gracilis, M. latifolia, , M. phaneroneura, carpoides, l. subepetala	M. fibrocarpa, M. hirsuta, a. M. kinabaluensis, M. lanceolata, M. latistipulata var. latistipulata, M. laurifolia, M. malayana, M. pearsonii, M. reticulata, M. sumatrana, M. triflora var. triflora				
	A Few	Numerous		A Few	Numerous	-						
	M. anticlesmifolia M. membranifolia (both varietics) <sup>1</sup> , M. dulitensis <sup>1</sup>			M. antidesmifolia M. membranifolia (both varieties) <sup>1</sup> , M. dulitensis <sup>1</sup> M. triflora var. Iongipetiolata <sup>2</sup>				P/E R	ATIO	ENDOAPERTURES		
	Remarks:	tensis differs slig	ntly from that o	of <i>M. antidesmifo</i>	<i>lia</i> (both	≤ 1.40	> 1.40	Circular- lalongate	Circular			
	varieties) in being larger, $(26-)31.1(-36) \times (19-)23.5(-27) \mu m$ , with P/E ratio of 1.32. <sup>2</sup> pollen of <i>M. tomentosa</i> only slightly differs from that of <i>M. triflora</i> var. <i>longipetiolata</i> in being smaller $(26-)27.2(-28) \times (19-)20.9(-23) \mu m$ . <sup>3</sup> pollen of <i>M. pearsonii</i> can be differentiated from that of <i>M. triflora</i> var. <i>triflora</i> by its larger size. $(31-)35(-38) \times (20-)21.5(-23) \mu m$ , and larger P/E ratio, 1.62. <sup>4.5</sup> The P/E ratio of pollen is either $< 1.40 (M. fibrocarpa, M. kinabaluensis, M. lauvifolia, and M. reticulata) or > 1.40 (M. hirsuta, M. lanceolata, M. latistipulata var. latistipulata, M. malayana, and M. sumatrana).$				M. borneensis, M. cinnamomifolia, M. riparia, M. stylocarpoides, M. subcordifolia	M. erythrocarpa, M. gracilis, M. latifolia, M. opaca, M. opsca, M. phaneroneura, M. subepetala	M. pearsonii', M. triflora var. triflora <sup>3</sup>	M. fibrocarpa <sup>4</sup> , M. hirsuta <sup>5</sup> , M. kinabaluensis <sup>5</sup> , M. lanceolata <sup>5</sup> , M. latistipulata <sup>8</sup> , M. laurifolia <sup>4</sup> , M. malayana <sup>5</sup> , M. reticulata <sup>4</sup> , M. sumatrana <sup>8</sup>				

Table 3. Grouping of *Microcos* species in Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo based on pollen morphological characters.

On the basis of palynological characters, the genus *Microcos* in Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo can be distinguished from *Grewia* by a combination of the following characters: pollen size, exine thickness, tectum ornamentation and lumina diameter. The main morphological differences between pollen of *Grewia* and *Microcos* are summarised in Table 4. To some extent, this study supports Burret's view (1926) for segregating *Microcos* from *Grewia*.

**Table 4.** Main differences between pollen of *Grewia* and *Microcos* species in PeninsularMalaysia and Borneo.

Genus:	Grewia	Microcos		
Characters				
Size				
Length polar axis, P (µm)	(44-)53-75(-80)	(20-)25-35(-42)		
Equatorial diameter, $E(\mu m)$	(32-)39-55(-62)	(15-)19-27(-31)		
Exine				
Thickness (µm)	(1-)1.55-2.15(-2.5)	(0.70)0.84-0.90(-1)		
Tectum				
Ornamentation	coarsely reticulate	finely reticulate		
Lumina diameter (µm)	>1.5	< 1.5		

Chung (2001, 2002) identified a total of 42 morphological and micromorphological characters, which in combination can be used to distinguish *Grewia* from *Microcos* species in Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo, and concluded that the two genera are distinct. The findings support previous conclusions reached by Burret (1926) and Bayer and Kubitzki (2003) based on the morphology of inflorescences, flowers and fruits; by Chattaway (1934) based on wood anatomy; Zhang and Chen (1984) based on pollen morphology; and by Bayer *et al.* (1999) based on combined analyses of plastid *atp*B and *rbc*L DNA sequences.

### Conclusions

This study confirms that within the Tiliaceae the pollen of *Grewia* and *Microcos* species occurring in Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo conforms well with the so-called 'Grewia-type' (Erdtman, 1952). Comparison based on results of this study and all available published information indicates that pollen of *Microcos* differs from that of *Grewia* in having smaller size, thinner exine, finer tectum reticulation and smaller lumina, which supports the segregation of *Microcos* from *Grewia*.

### Acknowledgements

The first author thanks the Director-General of the Forest Research Institute Malaysia for the support and encouragement; we thank the Directors/Keepers/Curators of BM, BO, BRUN, K, KEP, KLU, L, SAN, SAR and SING for the loan of specimens used in this study; Professor Siwert Nilsson (Swedish Museum of Natural History) and Dr R. W. J. M. van der Ham (L) for providing references; Mr Roslee Halip and Mr T. Ragunathan for technical assistance; and Dr Madeline Harley (K) and Dr Ruth Kiew (SING) for constructive comments that greatly improved the manuscript. Financial support to the first author from the Tree Flora of Sabah and Sarawak Project IRPA grant no. 01-04-01-0024 and the University of Malaya postgraduate research grant nos. 489/97, 225/98, and 209/99 is gratefully acknowledged.

# References

- Bayer, C., M. F. Fay, A. Y. de Bruijn, V. Savolainen, C. M. Morton, K. Kubitzki, W. S. Alverson and M. W. Chase. 1999. Support for an expanded family concept of Malvaceae within a recircumscribed order Malvales: a combined analysis of plastid *atpB* and *rbcL* DNA sequences. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **129**: 267–303.
- Bayer, C. and K. Kubitzki. 2003. Malvaceae. Pp. 225–311. In: K. Kubitzki and C. Bayer (eds.), *The Families and Genera of Vascular Plants*, Vol. 5 *Flowering Plants Dicotyledons*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Burret, M. 1926. Beiträge zur kenntnis der Tiliaceae I. *Notzblatt des Botanischen Gartens und Museum zu Berlin-Dahlem* **9**: 592–880.
- Chattaway, M. M. 1934. Anatomical evidence that *Grewia* and *Microcos* are distinct genera. *Tropical Woods* **38**: 9–11.
- Chaudhuri, S. K. 1965. Pollen morphological studies of the order Malvales II. *Bulletin* of the Botanical Society of Bengal **19**: 147–158.
- Chaudhuri, S. K. and N. Mallik. 1965. Pollen morphological studies of the order Malvales I. *Bulletin of the Botanical Society of Bengal* **19**: 32–36.
- Christensen, P. B. 1986. Pollen morphology studies in the Malvaceae. *Grana* **25**: 95–117.

- Chung, R. C. K. 2001. *Taxonomic and Micromorphological Studies of Grewia L. and Microcos L. (Tiliaceae) in Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo*. Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur. 563 pp.
- Chung, R. C. K. 2002. Leaf epidermal micromorphology of *Grewia* L. and *Microcos* L. (Tiliaceae) in Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo. *Gardens' Bulletin Singapore* 54: 263–286.
- Coetzee, J. and H. P. Van Der Schijff. 1978. Pollen morphology of South Africa Malvales: 1. Characteristics useful for keying and for numerical analysis. *Journal* of South Africa Botany 45: 93–126.
- El Ghazali, G. E. B. 1993. A study on the pollen flora of Sudan. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynolology* **76**: 99–345.
- Erdtman, G. 1952. Pollen Morphology and Plant Taxonomy: Angiosperms (An introduction to palynology I). Almqvist & Wiksell, Stockholm. 559 pp.
- Erdtman, G. 1960. The acetolysis method. A revised description. *Svensk Botanisk Tidskrift* **54**: 561–564.
- Long, H. 1982. Tiliaceae. In: Angiosperm Pollen Flora of Tropic and Subtropic China. Institute of Botany and South China Institute of Botany, Academia Sinica. The Science Press, Beijing. Pp. 377–380 + plates 180–182.
- Martínez-Hernández, E., P. Fernández and S. Lozano. 1978. Pollen of tropical trees. I. Tiliaceae. *Journal of the Arnold Arboretum* **59**: 299–309.
- Nair, P. K. K. 1962. Pollen grains of Indian Plants III. *Bulletin of the Natural Botany Garden* **63**: 1–33.
- Nilsson, S. and A. Robyns. 1986. Bombacaceae. *World Pollen and Spore Flora* 14: 1–59.
- Punt, W., S. Blackmore, S. Nilsson and A. L. Thomas. 1994. *Glossary of Pollen and Spore Terminology*. LPP Contribution Series No. 1. LPP Foundation, Utrecht. 71 pp.
- Reynold, E.S. 1963. The use of lead citrate at high pH as electron opaque stain in electron microscopy. *Journal of Cell Biology* **17**: 208.

- Sharma, B. D. 1969. Pollen morphology of Tiliaceae in relation to plant taxonomy. *Journal of Palynology* **5**: 7–29.
- Sudhakar, S. and R. S. Rao. 1987. Biosystematic studies in the genus *Grewia* Linn. I. Palynology. *Journal of the Economic Taxonomy Botany* **10**(2): 437–441.
- Wang, F. S., N. F. Chen, Y. L. Zhang and H. Q. Yang. 1995. Pollen Flora of China. 2nd edition. Institute of Botany, Academia Sinica, Beijing. Pp. 411–415, plates 194–196.
- Zhang, Y. L. and Y. S. Chen. 1984. Studies on pollen morphology in Tiliaceae of China. *Acta Phytotaxonomica Sinica* **22**: 367–377.